

Emptiness formation probability of the XXZ spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain at $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$

N. Kitanine¹, J. M. Maillet², N. A. Slavnov³, V. Terras⁴

Abstract

Using a multiple integral representation for the correlation functions, we compute the emptiness formation probability of the XXZ spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain at anisotropy $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$. We prove it is expressed in term of the number of alternating sign matrices.

The Hamiltonian of the XXZ spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain is given by

$$H = \sum_{m=1}^M (\sigma_m^x \sigma_{m+1}^x + \sigma_m^y \sigma_{m+1}^y + \Delta (\sigma_m^z \sigma_{m+1}^z - 1)) . \quad (1)$$

¹Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Japan, kitanine@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

On leave of absence from Steklov Institute at St. Petersburg, Russia

²Laboratoire de Physique, UMR 5672 du CNRS, ENS Lyon, France, maillet@ens-lyon.fr

³Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia, nslavnov@mi.ras.ru

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, USA, vterras@physics.rutgers.edu

On leave of absence from LPMT, UMR 5825 du CNRS, Montpellier, France

Here Δ is the anisotropy parameter, $\sigma_m^{x,y,z}$ denote the usual Pauli matrices acting on the quantum space at site m of the chain. The emptiness formation probability $\tau(m)$ (the probability to find in the ground state a ferromagnetic string of length m) is defined as the following expectation value

$$\tau(m) = \langle \psi_g | \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1 - \sigma_k^z}{2} | \psi_g \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $|\psi_g\rangle$ denotes the normalized ground state. In the thermodynamic limit ($M \rightarrow \infty$), this quantity can be expressed as a multiple integral with m integrations [1, 2, 3, 4]. Recently, in the article [5], a new multiple integral representation for $\tau(m)$ was obtained; for $\Delta = \cos \zeta$, $0 < \zeta < \pi$, one has

$$\tau(m) = \lim_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rightarrow -\frac{i\zeta}{2}} \tau(m, \{\xi_j\}), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\tau(m, \{\xi_j\}) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Z_m(\{\lambda\}, \{\xi\})}{\prod_{a>b}^m \sinh(\xi_a - \xi_b)} \det_m \left(\frac{i}{2\zeta \sinh \frac{\pi}{\zeta}(\lambda_j - \xi_k)} \right) d^m \lambda, \quad (4)$$

with

$$Z_m(\{\lambda\}, \{\xi\}) = \prod_{a=1}^m \prod_{b=1}^m \frac{\sinh(\lambda_a - \xi_b) \sinh(\lambda_a - \xi_b - i\zeta)}{\sinh(\lambda_a - \lambda_b - i\zeta)} \cdot \frac{\det_m \left(\frac{-i \sin \zeta}{\sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k) \sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k - i\zeta)} \right)}{\prod_{a>b}^m \sinh(\xi_a - \xi_b)}. \quad (5)$$

In this letter, we consider the particular case $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ ($\zeta = \pi/3$). Recently several interesting conjectures were obtained for the ground state of the model at this special value of the anisotropy parameter Δ [6, 7, 8, 9]. Note that the unitary transformation $UH_\Delta U^{-1} = -H_{-\Delta}$, $U = \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{M}{2}} \sigma_{2j}^z$ relates our Hamiltonian (1) for $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ to the case $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ in [7]. In particular, it was conjectured in [7] that, in this case, the emptiness formation probability is equal to

$$\tau(m) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)^{3m^2} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(k - \frac{1}{3}) \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{3})}{\Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (6)$$

The aim of this letter is to give the proof of this conjecture using the representations (3)–(5).

Observe first that for $\zeta = \pi/3$,

$$Z_m(\{\lambda\}, \{\xi\}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}}}{2^{m^2+m}} \prod_{a>b}^m \frac{\sinh 3(\xi_b - \xi_a)}{\sinh(\xi_b - \xi_a) \sinh(\xi_a - \xi_b)}$$

$$\times \det_m \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k) \sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k - i\zeta)} \right) \frac{\det_m \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k + \frac{i\pi}{3})} \right)}{\det_m \left(\frac{1}{\sinh 3(\lambda_j - \xi_k)} \right)}. \quad (7)$$

Here we have used the identities,

$$\det_n \frac{1}{\sinh(x_j - y_k)} = \frac{\prod_{a>b}^n \sinh(x_j - x_k) \sinh(y_k - y_j)}{\prod_{a,b=1}^n \sinh(x_j - y_k)}, \quad (8)$$

and $\sinh(3x) = 4 \sinh(x) \sinh(x + i\pi/3) \sinh(x - i\pi/3)$. Substituting (7) into (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(m, \{\xi_j\}) &= \left(\frac{3i}{4\pi} \right)^m \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}}}{2^{m^2} m!} \prod_{a>b}^m \frac{\sinh 3(\xi_b - \xi_a)}{\sinh(\xi_b - \xi_a)} \prod_{\substack{a,b=1 \\ a \neq b}}^m \sinh^{-1}(\xi_a - \xi_b) \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^m \lambda \quad \det_m \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k + \frac{i\pi}{3})} \right) \det_m \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k) \sinh(\lambda_j - \xi_k - \frac{i\pi}{3})} \right). \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

Due to the symmetry properties of the integrand, we can replace the first determinant with the product of its diagonal elements multiplied by $m!$. Then, we insert each of these diagonal elements into the corresponding line of the second determinant. By this procedure, the integrals over the variables λ are decoupled and we can integrate each line of the determinant separately. Let us set $\xi_k = \varepsilon_k - i\pi/6$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(m, \{\varepsilon_j\}) &= (-1)^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}} 3^m 2^{-m^2} \prod_{a>b}^m \frac{\sinh 3(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_a)}{\sinh(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_a)} \prod_{\substack{a,b=1 \\ a \neq b}}^m \frac{1}{\sinh(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_b)} \\ &\times \det_m \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{4\pi \cosh(\lambda - \varepsilon_j) \sinh(\lambda - \varepsilon_k - \frac{i\pi}{6}) \sinh(\lambda - \varepsilon_k + \frac{i\pi}{6})} \right). \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

The computation of the integral over λ in (10) leads to

$$\tau(m, \{\varepsilon_j\}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}}}{2^{m^2}} \prod_{a>b}^m \frac{\sinh 3(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_a)}{\sinh(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_a)} \prod_{\substack{a,b=1 \\ a \neq b}}^m \frac{1}{\sinh(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_b)} \cdot \det_m \left(\frac{3 \sinh \frac{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k}{2}}{\sinh \frac{3(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k)}{2}} \right). \quad (11)$$

To obtain the emptiness formation probability (2), one has to take the homogeneous limit $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$. Using the fact that

$$\lim_{\substack{x_j \rightarrow x \\ y_k \rightarrow y}} \frac{\det_m f(x_j - y_k)}{\prod_{a>b}^m (x_a - x_b)(y_b - y_a)} = \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} (n!)^{-2} \det_m [f^{(j+k-2)}(z)], \quad z = x - y, \quad (12)$$

we finally obtain

$$\tau(m) = (-1)^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}} 3^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}} 2^{-m^2} \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} (n!)^{-2} \det_m \left[\frac{\partial^{j+k-2}}{\partial x^{j+k-2}} \frac{\sinh \frac{x}{2}}{\sinh \frac{3x}{2}} \right]_{x=0}. \quad (13)$$

The determinant in (13) can be computed using the following identity [10],

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j>k}^m \sinh^2 \beta(j-k)} \cdot \det_m \frac{\sinh \alpha(j+k-1)}{\sinh \beta(j+k-1)} = 2^{m^2-m} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\sinh(\alpha + \beta(j-k))}{\sinh \beta(j+k-1)}, \quad (14)$$

(see [10] for the proof). The determinant (13) is a particular case of (14). Indeed, we can consider the case $\beta = 3\alpha$, $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, and apply (12) for $x_j = \alpha j$, $y_k = \alpha(1-k)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} (n!)^{-2} \det_m \left[\frac{\partial^{j+k-2}}{\partial x^{j+k-2}} \frac{\sinh \frac{x}{2}}{\sinh \frac{3x}{2}} \right]_{x=0} &= 3^{m^2-m} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{j-k+\frac{1}{3}}{j+k-1} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}} 3^{-\frac{m+m^2}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(3k+1)!}{(m+k)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Substituting these expressions into (13), we finally obtain

$$\tau(m) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m^2} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(3k+1)!}{(m+k)!}. \quad (16)$$

Observe that the quantity $A_m = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (3k+1)!/(m+k)!$ is the number of alternating sign matrices of size m [11]. Using

$$\Gamma(3z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} 3^{3z-1/2} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z+1/3) \Gamma(z+2/3), \quad \Gamma(k+1/2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^k} (2k-1)!!, \quad (17)$$

one can easily check the equivalency of (16) and (6). Thus (6) is proved.

The asymptotic behavior of $\tau(m)$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$ can be evaluated using the Stirling formula [7]:

$$\tau(m) \rightarrow c \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)^{3m^2} m^{-\frac{5}{36}}, \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (18)$$

with

$$c = \exp \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{5e^{-t}}{36} - \frac{\sinh \frac{5t}{12} \sinh \frac{t}{12}}{\sinh^2 \frac{t}{2}} \right) \frac{dt}{t} \right]. \quad (19)$$

Acknowledgments

N. K. would like to thank the University of York, the SPhT in Saclay, JSPS and the Tokyo University for financial support. N. S. is supported by the grants INTAS-99-1782, RFBR-99-01-00151, Leading Scientific Schools 00-15-96046, the Program Nonlinear Dynamics and Solitons and by CNRS. J.M. M. is supported by CNRS. V. T. is supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-96ER40959 and by CNRS. N. K, N. S. and V. T. would like to thank the Theoretical Physics group of the Laboratory of Physics at ENS Lyon for hospitality, which makes this collaboration possible.

References

- [1] M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki, Phys. Lett. A **168** (1992) 256.
- [2] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Journ. Phys. A: Math. Gen., **29** (1996) 2923.
- [3] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Algebraic analysis of solvable lattice models (AMS, 1995).
- [4] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B, **567** [FS] (2000) 554; math-ph/9907019.
- [5] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, hep-th/0201045.
- [6] Yu. Stroganov, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) L179-185; cond-mat/0012035.
- [7] A. V. Razumov and Yu. G. Stroganov, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 3185-90; cond-mat/0012141.

- [8] M. T. Batchelor, J. de Gier, B. Nienhuis, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** L265-270 (2001); cond-mat/0101385.
- [9] J. de Gier, M. T. Batchelor, B. Nienhuis, S. Mitra, cond-mat/0110011.
- [10] G. Kuperberg, Int. Math. Res. Notices **3** (1996) 139; math.CO/9712207.
- [11] D. Zeilberger, math.CO/9407211.